

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 972 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
MAHENDRABHAI G PARIKH SINCE DECEASED THROUGH HIS HEIRS&L.R

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

-----  
Appearance:

MR RS SANJANWALA for Petitioners

MR VM PANCHOLI, AGP for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3

-----  
CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

Date of decision: 09/07/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard Mr Sanjanwala, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr V.M. Pancholi, learned AGP for the respondents.

2. The petitioners have challenged the order passed by the competent authority and the order passed by the Tribunal under the provisions of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976. The authorities have not taken possession of the land in question from the petitioners,

which fact is not in dispute in view of the written instructions dt. 23-6-1999 received by the learned AGP from the Section Officer in the Revenue Department and also in view of the fact that the operation of the order of remand passed by the Urban Land Tribunal was stayed by this Court during pendency of this petition.

3. The Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 has been repealed by the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Repeal Act, 1999, as adopted by the Gujarat State Legislative Assembly as per the resolution dated 30th March, 1999, passed under Article 252 (2) of the Constitution. In view of the provisions of the aforesaid Repeal Act, 1999, the orders impugned in the present petition do not survive and all the proceedings under the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 in respect of the land in question as well as the present petition have abated.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of as having abated. There shall be no order as to costs.

July 9, 1999 (M.S. Shah, J.)

sundar/-